

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/326,540	YUN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Toan Ton	2871	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Toan Ton. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Song Jung. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2001 .

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_ .

Claim(s) discussed: all .

Identification of prior art discussed: Masanori .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Jung contended that Masanori fails to disclose a first frame/casing, a second frame/casing and the outer casing being joined together with a fastening part through the sides. Further, Masanori fails to disclose the liquid crystal panel having (first) side edges, the particular connections of the support frame. The English translation of Masanori was presented. The claims seem to overcome Masanori, and however further consideration and search will be needed.